Point Pattern Analysis

HES 505 Fall 2023: Session 18

Matt Williamson

Objectives

- Define a point process and their utility for ecological applications
- Define first and second-order Complete Spatial Randomness
- Use several common functions to explore point patterns
- Leverage point patterns to interpolate missing data

What is a point pattern?

- *Point pattern*: A **set** of **events** within a study region (i.e., a *window*) generated by a random process
- Set: A collection of mathematical events
- Events: The existence of a point object of the type we are interested in at a particular location in the study region
- A *marked point pattern* refers to a point pattern where the events have additional descriptors

Some notation:

- S: refers to the entire set
- s_i denotes the vector of data describing point s_i in set S
- #(S ∈ A) refers to the number of points in S within study area A

Requirements for a set to be considered a point pattern

Describing Point Patterns

- *Density-based metrics*: the # of points within area, a, in study area A
- *Distance-based metrics*: based on nearest neighbor distances or the distance matrix for all points
- *First order* effects reflect variation in **intensity** due to variation in the 'attractiveness' of locations
- *Second order* effects reflect variation in **intensity** due to the presence of points themselves



from Manuel Gimond

Centrography

- *Mean center*: the point, **s**, whose coordinates are the average of all events in the pattern
- *Standard distance*: a measure of the dispersion of points around the *mean center*
- *Standard ellipse*: dispersion in one dimension



From Manuel Gimond

Analyzing Point Patterns

- Modeling random processes means we are interested in probability densities of the points (first-order;density)
- Also interested in how the presence of some events affects the probability of other events (second-order;distance)
- Finally interested in how the attributes of an event affect location (marked)
- Need to introduce a few new packages (spatstat and gstat)

Density based methods

• The overall *intensity* of a point pattern is a crude density estimate

$$\hat{\lambda = \frac{\#(S \in A)}{a}}$$

* Local density = quadrat counts

| 30 | ° 2 0 | 1 ⁰ | 2 2 | 1 9 |
|----|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 <mark>3 0</mark> |
| ę | 2 0 | 2 | 2 | ° 2 |
| 2 | 9 ² | 20 | 0 010 0 | 1 ⁰ |
| °1 | °,2 | 0 3 8 | ° 1 | 0 Z |

Analyzing Point Patterns

Kernel Density Estimates (KDE)

$$\hat{f(x)} = \frac{1}{nh_xh_y} \sum_{i=1}^n k\left(\frac{x - x_i}{h_x}, \frac{y - y_i}{h_y}\right)$$

- Assume each location in \boldsymbol{s}_i drawn from unknown distribution
- Distribution has probability density $f(\mathbf{x})$
- Estimate $f(\mathbf{x})$ by averaging probability "bumps" around each location
- Need different object types for most operations in R (as.pp)

Kernel Density Estimates (KDE)

- h is the bandwidth and k is the kernel
- We can use stats::density to explore
- **kernel**: defines the shape, size, and weight assigned to observations in the window
- **bandwidth** often assigned based on distance from the window center

```
1 x <- rpoispp(lambda =50)
2 K1 <- density(x, bw=2)
3 K2 <- density(x, bw=10)
4 K3 <- density(x, bw=2, kernel="disc")</pre>
```



Choosing bandwidths and kernels

- Small values for h give 'spiky' densities
- Large values for h smooth much more
- Some kernels have optimal bandwidth detection
- **tmap** package provides additional functionality

Second-Order Analysis

Second-Order Analysis

- KDEs assume independence of points (first order randomness)
- Second-order methods allow dependence amongst points (second-order randomness)
- Several functions for assessing second order dependence (K, L, and G)

Distance based metrics

- Provide an estimate of the *second order* effects
- Mean nearest-neighbor distance:

$$\hat{d_{\min}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{\min}(\mathbf{s_i})}{n}$$

Nearest-neighbor distance

- 1 ANN <- apply(nndist(x, k=1:50),2,FUN=mean)</pre>
- 2 plot(ANN ~ eval(1:50), type="b", main=NULL, las=1)



- Nearest neighbor methods throw away a lot of information
- If points have independent, fixed marginal densities, then they exhibit *complete*, *spatial randomness* (CSR)
- The *K* function is an alternative, based on a series of circles with increasing radius

 $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{d}) = \lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{d}})$

• We can test for clustering by comparing to the expectation:

$$K_{CSR}(d) = \pi d^2$$

• if $k(d) > K_{CSR}(d)$ then there is clustering at the scale defined by d

- When working with a sample the distribution of K is unknown
- Estimate with

$$\hat{K(d)} = \lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{I(d_{ij} < d)}{n(n-1)}$$

where:

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n}{|A|}$$

- 1 kf <- Kest(bramblecanes, correction-"border")</pre>
- 2 plot(kf)



- accounting for variation in d
 - 1 kf.env <- envelope(bramblecanes, correction="border", envelope = FALSE, ver</pre>
 - 2 plot(kf.env)



Other functions

- L function: square root transformation of K
- G function: the cummulative frequency distribution of the nearest neighbor distances
- F function: similar to G but based on randomly located points

